31st March 1955] [Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam]

(2) the workers will do the work they were doing prior to 14th March 1955,

(3) the management will not punish the workers for the alleged go-slow tactics adopted by them, and

(4) all issues pending settlement including that of work-load will be taken up in conciliation before the Labour Officer, Madras-2, before 2nd April 1955.

Sir, I can assure the House that the Government will take suitable action on receipt of the conciliation report from the Labour Commissioner."

SRI M. KALYANASUNDARAM :—" Without any desire to enter into any debate on this question, I want to seek a clarification. What is the opinion of the Government with regard to the closure and whether the Government will be willing to ask the management to give pay for the period of closure? "

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" Sir, Government will examine that question only on receipt of a report from the Labour Officer who has been asked to take up this matter for conciliation."

MR. SPEAKER :—" We shall take up the Court Fees Bill. With the co-operation of the House, I wish to finish this Bill before 1-30 p.m. to-day."

SRI M. KALYANASUNDARAM :—" Before we proceed with the agenda, may I remind you, Sir, of the adjournment motion given notice of by the hon. Member Sri K. P. Gopalan."

MR. SPEAKER :—" I am sorry I have forgotten."

III.—ADJOURNMENT MOTION *re* AILMENT OF PRISONERS IN CANNANORE CENTRAL JAIL.

MR. SPEAKER :—" There is an adjournment motion given notice of by the hon. Member Sri K. P. Gopalan—

'This House stands adjourned to discuss a matter of urgent public importance, viz., the failure of the Government to prevent the attack of jaundice on a large number of prisoners lodged in the Central Jail, Cannanore, Malabar, due to *suspected* adulteration of food supplied to the prisoners resulting in the death of a prisoner and the failure of the Government to take preventive measures to check the spread of the disease.'

" The hon. Member suspects that there is adulteration of the food and charges the Government. I want to know how it comes under the adjournment motion."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" This is a very serious thing and prisoners are affected by this disease. I do not want to put it in a categorical form and that is why I have stated ' suspected '."

MR. SPEAKER :—" When the hon. Member suspects, how can he bring an adjournment motion. Let the hon. Member tell me how it comes under this rule? "

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" If it is anybody outside the jail, he can go to any medical practitioner to get treatment. But regarding the prisoners in jail it is the sole responsibility of the Government to see that the health of the prisoners is maintained. That is why I want to invite the kind attention of the Government to take remedial measures. Hence, I have brought this adjournment motion before this House."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" May I appeal to the hon. Member not to take a jaundiced view of the things?"

MR. SPEAKER :—" This is not a matter of urgent public importance. I rule this out of order."

IV.—GOVERNMENT BILLS.

(1) THE MADRAS COURT-FEES AND SUITS VALUATION BILL, 1954—*cont.*

Clause 30.

9-40 a.m. MR. SPEAKER :—" The motion is—

' That clause 30 do stand part of the Bill.' "

SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN :—" Sir, I move the following amendment (127) :—

' *In line* A, *after the words* " *computed on* " insert *the words* " *one-half of* ".' "

The amendment was duly seconded.

SRI S. PANCHAKSHARAM :—" Sir, I move the following amendment (223) :—

' For " *four hundred* ", substitute " *one hundred* ".' "

The amendment was duly seconded.

SRI V. GOPALA GOUNDER :—" Sir, I move the following amendment (30) :—

' For *the words* " *four hundred* ", substitute *the words* " *two hundred* ".' "

The amendment was duly seconded.

SRI P. S. KRISHNASWAMY AYYANGAR :—" Sir, I move the following amendment (33) :—

' Renumber *the present clause as sub-clause* (1) *of that clause* and insert *the following as sub-clause* (2) :—

" (2) *Where the property has no market value, the plaintiff shall, having regard to the magnitude and importance of the property state in the plaint a reasonable amount*